arXiv:1208.0406v1 [math.FA] 2 Aug 2012

NEW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF MAXIMAL IDEALS IN ALGEBRAS OF CONTINUOUS VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

MORTAZA ABTAHI

Abstract. Let X be a compact Hausdorf space, let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra, and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the algebra of continuous A-valued functions on X equipped with the uniform norm $\|f\|_u = \sup_{s\in S} \|f(x)\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Hausner, in [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8**(1957), 246–249], proved that $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist a point $x_0 \in X$ and a maximal ideal M in A such that $\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x_0) \in M\}$. In this note, we give new characterizations of maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A}$. We also present a short proof of Hausner's result by a different approach.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, X is a compact Hausdorff space and $C(X)$ denotes the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on X. We let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complex commutative Banach algebra with identity. We denote the unit element of A by $\mathbf{1}$ and assume that $\|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$. We denote the algebra of all continuous functions defined on X with values in A by $C(X, A)$. For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{A}$ to denote $C(X, A)$. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the *uniform norm* of f is defined by

$$\|f\|_u = \sup\{\|f(x)\| : x \in X\}.$$

In this setting, $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_u)$ is a commutative Banach algebra. Given an element $a \in A$, we consider a as a constant function on X, and A is regarded as a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Also, by identifying every scalar-valued function $f \in C(X)$ with the vector-valued function $x \mapsto f(x)\mathbf{1}$, we regard $C(X)$ as a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

It is well-known that an ideal M in $C(X)$ is maximal if and only if there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $M = \{f \in C(X) : f(x_0) = 0\}$. In this note, we investigate the maximal ideals in $C(X, A)$ and give new characterizations of these maximal ideals.

In 1950, Bertman Yood [4] investigated the structure of the space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of maximal ideals of $\mathcal{A}$. He proved [4, Theorem 3.1] that when X is completely regular and A is a B^*-algebra, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is homeomorphic to the β-compactification of $X \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$. Then, in section 5 of his paper, he assumed that X is compact but the requirement that A be a B^*-algebra was dropped; he proved that $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is homeomorphic to X, provided X is compact and A is a primary ring; [4, Theorem 5.2]. He also proved that if every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x) \in M\}, \tag{1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46J10; secondary 46J20.

Key words and phrases. Continuous vector-valued functions; Commutative Banach algebras; Maximal ideals.

for some $x \in X$ and M a maximal ideal in A, then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) = X \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$. A few years later, Alvin Hausner [1] improved the result of Yood by showing that, when X is compact, every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1). In this paper, by a different approach, we present a short proof for this result.

2. Main Results

For the Banach algebra A, a linear functional $\phi : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *character* if $\phi \neq 0$ and $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$. We denote the set of all multiplicative functionals on A by $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. By [2, Theorem 11.5], there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ and the set of all maximal ideals in A.

Ideals in A will be denoted by I, J, M, etc, and ideals in $\mathcal{A}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{M}$, etc. For an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, a point $x \in X$ and a character $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, we define

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \{f(x) : f \in \mathcal{I}\}, \qquad \phi(\mathcal{I}) = \{\phi \circ f : f \in \mathcal{I}\}. \tag{2}$$

It is easy to check that $\mathcal{I}(x)$ and $\phi(\mathcal{I})$ are ideals in A and $C(X)$, respectively, and, in case $\mathcal{I}$ is closed, both $\mathcal{I}(x)$ and $\phi(\mathcal{I})$ are closed. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{I} \cap A \subset \mathcal{I}(x), \quad \mathcal{I} \cap C(X) \subset \phi(\mathcal{I}).$$

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f(x) \in \mathcal{I}(x)$, for every $x \in X$, then $f \in \mathcal{I}$. In particular, if $f(X) \subset \mathcal{I}$ then $f \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $x \in X$, by the assumption, there exists a function $g_x \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $f(x) = g_x(x)$. Therefore, for every x, there is a neighborhood V_x of x such that $\|f(t) - g_x(t)\| < \varepsilon$, for all $t \in V_x$. Since X is compact, there are finitely many points $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in X such that

$$X \subset V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n, \qquad (V_i = V_{x_i}).$$

By [3, Theorem 2.13], there are nonnegative continuous functions $h_1, \dots, h_n$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_i) \subset V_i$ and $h_1 + \cdots + h_n = 1$ on X. Take $g_i = g_{x_i}$ and $g = h_1 g_1 + \cdots + h_n g_n$. Then $g \in \mathcal{I}$ and, for every $t \in X$,

$$\|f(t) - g(t)\| = \Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(t) f(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(t) g_i(t)\Big\| \le \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(t)\|f(t) - g_i(t)\| < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\|f - g\|_u \le \varepsilon$ and, since $\mathcal{I}$ is closed, we have $f \in \mathcal{I}$. □

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ be closed ideals in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{I}(x) = \mathcal{J}(x)$ for every x, then $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{I}(x) = A$ for every x, then $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A}$.*

Let us now give a very short proof of the fact that every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1).

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exist a point $x_0 \in X$ and a maximal ideal M in A such that $\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x_0) \in M\}$.*

Proof. There exists, by Corollary 2, a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_0) \neq A$. We prove that the ideal $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is maximal in A. If $a \in A$ and $a \notin \mathcal{M}(x_0)$, then a, as a constant function on X, does not belong to $\mathcal{M}$ and so, for some $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\mathbf{1} = ag + f$. In particular, $\mathbf{1} = ag(x_0) + f(x_0)$ meaning A is generated by $\mathcal{M}(x_0) \cup \{a\}$ and hence $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is maximal in A. Now, let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x_0) \in \mathcal{M}(x_0)\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_0$ is a proper ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$. Hence $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0$. □

Theorem 4. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$, for some $x_0 \in X$, is a maximal ideal in A, and $\mathcal{M}(x_1) = A$ for $x_1 \neq x_0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(x_0) = \mathcal{M} \cap A$.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3 shows that there is a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is a maximal ideal in A. Clearly, $\mathcal{M} \cap A \subset \mathcal{M}(x_0)$. Assume that $a \in A$ and $a \notin \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathbf{1} = ag + h$, for some $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathbf{1} = ag(x_0) + h(x_0)$. Since $h(x_0) \in \mathcal{M}(x_0)$ and $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is a proper ideal, we must have $a \notin \mathcal{M}(x_0)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}(x_0) \subset \mathcal{M} \cap A$.

Now, assume that $x_1 \neq x_0$. There is a function $g \in C(X)$ such that $g(x_0) = 1$ and $g(x_1) = 0$. Hence $g \notin \mathcal{M}$ and so there exist $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathbf{1} = f + gh$. Hence, $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{M}(x_1)$ which means that $\mathcal{M}(x_1) = A$. □

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. If there exist two distinct points $x_0, x_1 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{I}(x_0) \neq A$ and $\mathcal{I}(x_1) \neq A$, then $\mathcal{I}$ cannot be maximal.*

Theorem 6. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\phi(\mathcal{M})$, for some character $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, is a maximal ideal in $C(X)$, and $\psi(\mathcal{M}) = C(X)$ for $\psi \neq \phi$. Moreover, $\phi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \cap C(X)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4, there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is a maximal ideal in A. By [2, Theorem 11.5], there is a character $\phi : A \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathcal{M}(x_0) = \ker \phi$. We show that

$$\mathcal{M} \cap C(X) = \phi(\mathcal{M}) = \{f \in C(X) : f(x_0) = 0\}. \tag{3}$$

The inclusions from left to right in the above equations are obvious. If $f \in C(X)$ and $f \notin \mathcal{M}$, then $\mathbf{1} = fg + h$, for some $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence, $\mathbf{1} = f(x_0)g(x_0)$ which means that $f(x_0) \neq 0$.

Now, let $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$ with $\phi(\mathcal{M}) = \psi(\mathcal{M})$. If $a \in \ker \phi$ then $a \in \mathcal{M}$ an thus $\psi(a) \in \phi(\mathcal{M})$. By (3), since $\psi(a)$ is constant, we get $\psi(a) = 0$. This shows that $\ker \phi \subset \ker \psi$ and so $\phi = \psi$. □

We can summarize the above results in the following statement.

Theorem 7. *For an ideal $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ the following are equivalent.*

(i) *The ideal $\mathcal{M}$ is maximal.*
(ii) *The ideals $\mathcal{M} \cap A$ and $\mathcal{M} \cap C(X)$ are maximal, respectively in A and $C(X)$.*
(iii) *There is a unique point $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_0) \neq A$ and $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is maximal in A.*
(iv) *There exist a point $x_0 \in X$ and a maximal ideal M in A such that*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x_0) \in M\}.$$

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii): It follows from Theorem 4 and Theorem 6.

(ii) ⇒ (iii): Since $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 2, there is a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_0) \neq A$. The proper ideal $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is maximal, since it contains the maximal ideal $\mathcal{M} \cap A$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{M} \cap C(X)$ is maximal in $C(X)$, we must have

$$\mathcal{M} \cap C(X) = \{f \in C(X) : f(x_0) = 0\}.$$

Now, if $x_1 \neq x_0$, there is a function $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$ and $f(x_1) = 1$. It shows that $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap C(X)$. Hence $\mathbf{1} = f(x_1) \in \mathcal{M}(x_1)$ and thus $\mathcal{M}(x_1) = A$.

(iii) ⇒ (iv): Set $M = \mathcal{M}(x_0)$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f(x_0) \in M\}$. Then $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{I}$. If $x \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$ then, by the assumption, $A = \mathcal{M}(x) \subset \mathcal{I}(x) \subset A$. Hence we must have $\mathcal{I}(x_0) \neq A$ since $\mathcal{I} \neq A$. Since $\mathcal{M}(x_0)$ is maximal and $\mathcal{M}(x_0) \subset \mathcal{I}(x_0)$, we get

$\mathcal{M}(x_0) = \mathcal{I}(x_0)$. Therefore, for every $x \in X$, we have shown that $\mathcal{M}(x) = \mathcal{I}(x)$. Lemma 2 shows that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}$.

The implication (iv) ⇒ (i) is easy to prove; see [4, Lemma 2.1]. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] A. Hausner, *Ideals in a certain Banach algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 246–249.

[2] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Second edition, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.

[3] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.

[4] B. Yood, *Banach algebras of continuous functions*, Amer. J. Math. **73** (1951), 30–42.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, DAMGHAN UNIVERSITY, P.O.BOX 36715-364, DAMGHAN, IRAN

E-mail address: `abtahi@du.ac.ir`